

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/891,580 | 06/26/2001 | Toshimitsu Taniguchi | 10417-085001 | 3310 |
| 26211 7 | 7590 02/04/2003 | | | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. | | | . EXAMINER | |
| 45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2800 NEW YORK, NY 10111 | | 00 | ANYA, IGWE U | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2825 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • 6 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/891,580 | TANIGUCHI ET AL. | | | | | |
| · Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Igwe U. Anya | 2825 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 ∧</u> | lovember 2002 . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application | • | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | •. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/891,580

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (US Patent 5502009) in view of Shimizu (US Patent 6380020).
- 4. Lin teaches a substrate having an oxide layer (23) first and second device formation regions (21, 22), an oxidation resistant film (24), a photoresist (200) masking the second device formation region, removing the oxidation resistant film on the first device formation region using the photoresist as a mask (fig. 2B), removing the photoresist, removing the oxide layer above the first device formation area using the

Art Unit: 2825

oxidation resistance film above the second device formation area as a mask (fig 2C), thermally growing a replacement oxide film in the first device region using the oxidation resistant film on the second formation region as a mask, and removing the oxidation resistant mask on the second formation region leaving the oxide film in the second device formation area, which is thicker than the oxide in the first device formation region (col. 3 lines 5-60).

- 5. Lin lacks the step of removing the oxide layer on the second device formation region along with the oxidation resistant film on the second device formation region, and forming a new oxidation by thermal oxidation.
- 6. However, Shimizu teaches a step of removing the oxide layer on the second device formation region along with the oxidation resistant film on the second device formation region and replacing with a new oxide layer by thermal oxidation (figs. 2D, 2E, 2F).
- 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the oxide layer on the second device formation region along with the oxidation resistant film on the second device formation region, and form a new oxide layer by thermal oxidation as an art recognized equivalent.
- 8. Claims 11 and 12 are allowable over the references of record, because none these references teaches or in combination render obvious a method comprising inter alia, implanting a second impurity layer of first conductive type having a low concentration to connect first impurity layer, and implanting a third impurity layer of first conductive type having a high concentration in the first impurity layer.

. Application/Control Number: 09/891,580

Art Unit: 2825

Remarks

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igwe U. Anya whose telephone number is (703) 308-3549. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (703) 308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/891,580

Art Unit: 2825

872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Igwe U. Anya Examiner Art Unit 2825

IA January 26, 2003

MATTHEM SWITH
SUPERVISORY CENTER, 2007
TECHNOLOGY CENTER, 2007